

**APPENDIX G**

**SOCIOECONOMIC RESOURCES**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

<b>Appendix No.</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Page</b>
Appendix G-1	Environmental Justice Population Determinations	G1-1 – G1-10
Appendix G-2	Nielsen Demographic Update Methodology	G2-1 – G2-40

**APPENDIX G-1**

**ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE POPULATION  
DETERMINATIONS**

---

## Data Source

The 2012 demographic and economic data used in Socioeconomic Resources analysis were gathered from SiteReports generated from the 2012.1 Nielsen demographic update, which refers to the massive set of demographic estimates and projections produced by The Nielsen Company.<sup>1</sup> The SiteReports provide current year U.S. demographic projections at selected geographies, particularly for small geographies. In the case of small areas, data can be filtered where specific latitudes and longitudes or addresses can be used as a benchmark to obtain data for a defined radius around that specified location. For the purpose of the Socioeconomic Resources analysis, the one-mile radius from each LTE site was defined as the study area based on professional judgment and the fact that one-mile radius served as the most conservative geographic unit of analysis that covered the affected areas of the resources analyzed in this EA.

Data from 2012.1 Nielsen update (see Appendix G-2 Nielsen Update Methodology) are derived from the U.S. Census Bureau's most recent estimates. This update incorporates small area counts from the 2010 census; specifically, estimates are built from 2010 census counts of population, households, housing units, population by race, and Hispanic ethnicity. New American Community Survey (ACS) data are also incorporated into Nielsen demographic update as they become available from the U.S. Census Bureau. The 2012.1 update uses recent ACS 5-Year data at the block group level and continues to utilize ACS 1-Year and 3-Year data for larger geographic areas. In addition to U.S. Census data, estimates for base counts are also based on data produced by other sources including: state demographers; trends in United States Postal Service (USPS) deliverable address counts; and counts from the Nielsen Master Address File. In the case of household income, Nielsen data are based on Bureau of Economic Analysis (BEA) income estimates, income statistics from the Internal Revenue Service (IRS).

## Minority Population Thresholds

A minority, as defined by the U.S. Census Bureau, means a person who is a member of the following groups: American Indian or Alaskan Native (a person having origins in any of the original people of North America and maintains cultural identification through tribal affiliation or community recognition); Asian or Pacific Islander; Black or African American; or Latino/Hispanic person (a person of Mexican, Puerto Rican, Cuban, Central or South American or other Spanish culture or origin, regardless of race). The *CEQ Environmental Justice Guidance Under NEPA* (CEQ, 1997) identifies minority communities as any readily identifiable group of minority persons who live in geographic proximity, and if circumstances warrant, geographically dispersed/transient persons (such as migrant farm workers or Native Americans) who will be similarly affected by a proposed project or action. As noted in Appendix A of *CEQ Environmental Justice Guidance Under NEPA*, minority population should be identified where either:

- a) The minority population of the affected area exceeds 50 percent; or
- b) The minority population percentage of the affected area is meaningfully greater than the minority population percentage in the general population or other appropriate unit of

---

<sup>1</sup> The Nielsen Company is a global information and measurement firm.

geographic analysis. For the purpose of this analysis, minority population percentage is considered meaningfully greater if it is more than 10 percentage points greater than its respective county figures (i.e., 71.8 percent for Los Angeles County or 55.3 percent for Orange County).

### **Low-Income Population Thresholds**

Low-Income population is any identifiable group of low-income persons who live in geographic proximity, and if circumstances warrant, geographically dispersed/transient persons (such as migrant farm workers or Native Americans) who will be similarly affected by a proposed project or action. Low-income means any person whose median household income is at or below U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) poverty guidelines. The HHS poverty guidelines are produced for the 48 contiguous states and the District of Columbia combined, and therefore do not reflect the local cost of living in most major metropolitan areas. Consequently, the use of locally developed thresholds such as a percentage of area median income (AMI) is encouraged by federal agencies such as FTA and EPA, provided that the threshold is at least as inclusive as the HHS poverty guidelines.

To identify low income populations within the one-mile radius study area, 2012 Nielsen SiteReports data for Families below Poverty Level and Median Household Income were used. A methodology similar to a percentage of AMI used by the Department of Housing and Urban Development Public Housing/Section 8 Income Limits<sup>2</sup> has been employed in the identification and classification of low-income populations. Percentage of AMI provides more inclusive statistical thresholds than the HHS-based Below Poverty Level status and reflects the local cost of living. Due to the nature of the available income data for one-mile study areas and to account for the individuals that do not identify themselves in families, household median income is used in place of HUD's definition of median family income.

For the purpose of this analysis, a population within the study area is considered low-income if the study area population has either:

- (a) a percentage of families below poverty level meaningfully greater (i.e., 10 percent) than the reference county's percentage of families below poverty level; or
- (b) a median household income<sup>3</sup> less than 80 percent AMI. Low-income is defined as households whose incomes do not exceed 80 percent of the median household income for the area (reference county).

The 2012 Median Household Income for Los Angeles County (at \$52,136) and Orange County (at \$71,342) was used in the percentage of AMI calculations. For low-income at 80 percent AMI, the median household incomes of 56 sites in Los Angeles County and one site in Orange County do not exceed \$41,709 and \$57,074 respectively. Table G1-1 summarizes the number of sites identified using the minority and low-income thresholds. Table G1-2 provides site-specific statistics.

<sup>2</sup> U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development Office of Policy Development & Research. *Fiscal Year 2012 Income Limits Briefing Material*. December 2011.

<sup>3</sup> Median household income includes both one-person households and multi-person households of unrelated individuals.

**Table G1-1**  
**NUMBER OF LA-RICS LTE SITES WITHIN LOW-INCOME POPULATION BY PRESENCE OF**  
**MINORITY POPULATION**

	Low-Income	Not Low-Income	Total
Study Areas with Environmental Justice Threshold Minority Population (>50%)	54	99	153
Study Areas with No Environmental Justice Threshold Minority Population (<50%)	3	75	78
<b>Total</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>174</b>	<b>231</b>

Source: Nielsen SiteReports, 2012.

**Table G1-2**  
**SUMMARY OF MINORITY AND INCOME CHARACTERISTICS**

 Sites identified with Environmental Justice Populations

Site ID	Population within One-Mile Radius	Minority Population (%) <sup>4</sup>	Meet Minority Population Threshold (>50%)?	Families below Poverty Level (%)	Families below Poverty Level Threshold (%) <sup>5</sup>	Median Household Income (\$)	Low-Income Threshold ≤ 80% AMI (\$) <sup>6</sup>	Meet Low-Income Population Thresholds ?
ALHPD01	43,703	90.1	Yes	12.9	22.6	44,395	41,709	No
ARCPD01	16,016	80.1	Yes	7.8	22.6	59,775	41,709	No
AZPD001	24,137	83.2	Yes	9.7	22.6	48,750	41,709	No
BGPD001	39,458	97.2	Yes	21.0	22.6	40,829	41,709	Yes
BHR	26,173	17.1	No	5.1	22.6	70,702	41,709	No
BMT	21	28.6	No	17	22.6	51,860	41,709	No
BPPD001	36,709	95.7	Yes	13.6	22.6	48,914	41,709	No
BRK	10	60.0	Yes	0	22.6	46,011	41,709	No
BUR	0	0	No	0	22.6	0	41,709	No

<sup>4</sup> Minority population includes Hispanic/Latino population. Race and Hispanic/Latino ethnicity are separate census questions; therefore, persons of Hispanic ethnicity can be of any race. In census tabulation, Hispanics are included in each race category, and the race categories alone sum to total population. For certain areas or sites, the total sum of different population percentages (i.e., sum of the percentage of White, Asian, African American, and Native American, plus the percentage of Hispanic populations) provided may be greater than 100 percent.

<sup>5</sup> A small portion of the one-mile radius for three sites (i.e., FS5, PHN, LACF144,) extends into an adjacent county of Los Angeles County. Because the area extending into the adjacent county is very small (i.e., less than 25 percent), Los Angeles County remains the reference county. Site CLM, LBFD021, and LACF194 are located immediately adjacent to county boundary line and the portion of their one-mile radius extending into an adjacent county is quite large (i.e., nearly 50 percent). Consequently, an average of income threshold values from two reference counties was used. Site CLM used an average threshold value from Los Angeles County and San Bernardino County. Site LBFD021 and LACF194 used an average value from Los Angeles County and Orange County.

<sup>6</sup> *Ibid.*

Site ID	Population within One-Mile Radius	Minority Population (%) <sup>4</sup>	Meet Minority Population Threshold (>50%)?	Families below Poverty Level (%)	Families below Poverty Level Threshold (%) <sup>5</sup>	Median Household Income (\$)	Low-Income Threshold ≤ 80% AMI (\$) <sup>6</sup>	Meet Low-Income Population Thresholds ?
BURPD01	36,746	33.9	No	8.7	22.6	45,096	41,709	No
CCT	53,359	78.6	Yes	26.9	22.6	14,999	41,709	Yes
CEN	42,213	98.5	Yes	30.2	22.6	32,880	41,709	Yes
CJP	14,009	72.9	Yes	1.5	22.6	87,481	41,709	No
CLM	6,366	37.8	No	5.6	22.75 <sup>7</sup>	84,530	41,578 <sup>8</sup>	No
CLRMPD1	20,275	49.6	No	6.4	22.6	59,370	41,709	No
CPTFD02	45,091	99.6	Yes	25.0	22.6	38,441	41,709	Yes
CPTFD04	10,963	96.7	Yes	15.7	22.6	48,230	41,709	No
CULV001	15,242	49.9	No	3.7	22.6	62,820	41,709	No
DWNYPD1	29,531	82.7	Yes	7.9	22.6	51,102	41,709	No
ELMNTPD	38,449	97.9	Yes	19.4	22.6	35,152	41,709	Yes
ELSGDPD	15,250	27.7	No	1.1	22.6	77,953	41,709	No
FCCF	30,575	98.7	Yes	20.5	22.6	38,413	41,709	Yes
FS5	17,168	53.4	Yes	6.1	22.6	73,089	41,709	No
GARD001	31,980	85.5	Yes	11.2	22.6	49,503	41,709	No
GCC	65,933	37.8	No	14.3	22.6	37,337	41,709	Yes
GDWP001	21,752	32.6	No	11.3	22.6	45,988	41,709	No
GLNDL23	6,426	32.4	No	4.9	22.6	126,077	41,709	No
GLNDL24	11,520	27.4	No	3.7	22.6	87,629	41,709	No
GLNDL28	19,554	42.8	No	4.5	22.6	78,075	41,709	No
LACF003	49,646	100.1	Yes	23.5	22.6	33,802	41,709	Yes
LACF004	35,017	97.6	Yes	13.5	22.6	41,267	41,709	Yes
LACF016	59,608	100.3	Yes	26.2	22.6	31,624	41,709	Yes
LACF021	59,608	85.3	Yes	13.2	22.6	45,051	41,709	No
LACF023	45,420	84.0	Yes	12.0	22.6	42,866	41,709	No
LACF024	7,729	67.1	Yes	7.7	22.6	69,825	41,709	No
LACF028	30,785	83.0	Yes	10.6	22.6	46,324	41,709	No
LACF030	25,516	78.4	Yes	8.0	22.6	71,182	41,709	No
LACF031	34,820	96.4	Yes	18.4	22.6	42,330	41,709	No
LACF038	26,814	95.1	Yes	11.5	22.6	51,519	41,709	No
LACF044	13,576	68.4	Yes	7.4	22.6	54,277	41,709	No
LACF048	7,115	95.7	Yes	8.9	22.6	57,830	41,709	No
LACF050	11,334	99.3	Yes	19.9	22.6	40,429	41,709	Yes
LACF053	3,537	38.5	No	1.3	22.6	134,606	41,709	No

<sup>7</sup> The percentage of families below poverty threshold is 22.9 percent for San Bernardino County and 22.6 percent for Los Angeles County. The average of these two threshold values is 22.75 percent

<sup>8</sup> The 80 percent AMI for San Bernardino County is \$41,446 and \$41,709 for Los Angeles County. The average of these two threshold values is \$41,578.

Site ID	Population within One-Mile Radius	Minority Population (%) <sup>4</sup>	Meet Minority Population Threshold (>50%)?	Families below Poverty Level (%)	Families below Poverty Level Threshold (%) <sup>5</sup>	Median Household Income (\$)	Low-Income Threshold ≤ 80% AMI (\$) <sup>6</sup>	Meet Low-Income Population Thresholds ?
LACF056	1,840	27.3	No	1.1	22.6	245,025	41,709	No
LACF058	20,895	89.6	Yes	6.6	22.6	63,786	41,709	No
LACF059	17,948	64.3	Yes	2.8	22.6	70,460	41,709	No
LACF061	14,044	86.5	Yes	4.7	22.6	92,405	41,709	No
LACF065	2,565	21.0	No	2.2	22.6	87,182	41,709	No
LACF068	4,433	14.6	No	1.7	22.6	153,971	41,709	No
LACF069	1,606	11.1	No	1.9	22.6	99,830	41,709	No
LACF071	3,646	11.0	No	1.7	22.6	113,943	41,709	No
LACF072	150	25.3	No	2.9	22.6	157,997	41,709	No
LACF073	16,118	59.4	Yes	8.0	22.6	63,968	41,709	No
LACF076	705	40.1	No	2.8	22.6	115,271	41,709	No
LACF077	4	25.0	No	0.0	22.6	51,860	41,709	No
LACF078	275	24.0	No	6.8	22.6	71,363	41,709	No
LACF079	1,170	39.7	No	7.8	22.6	36,485	41,709	Yes
LACF080	365	24.4	No	5.8	22.6	76,273	41,709	No
LACF081	521	25.1	No	12.0	22.6	99,020	41,709	No
LACF083	11,429	33.7	No	2.9	22.6	102,226	41,709	No
LACF084	11,313	41.2	No	12.0	22.6	65,976	41,709	No
LACF085	19,092	58.7	Yes	4.3	22.6	65,201	41,709	No
LACF086	8,362	36.7	No	1.5	22.6	84,614	41,709	No
LACF087	17,296	95.5	Yes	10.4	22.6	59,042	41,709	No
LACF088	994	11.6	No	0.4	22.6	98,905	41,709	No
LACF090	28,306	98.1	Yes	20.2	22.6	39,202	41,709	Yes
LACF091	9,014	81.7	Yes	3.3	22.6	80,990	41,709	No
LACF092	2,792	65.2	Yes	11.2	22.6	50,160	41,709	No
LACF093	17,680	89.6	Yes	10.4	22.6	62,354	41,709	No
LACF095	14,874	94.9	Yes	9.7	22.6	46,316	41,709	No
LACF096	27,943	88.2	Yes	7.3	22.6	59,284	41,709	No
LACF098	38,064	82.8	Yes	9.9	22.6	50,411	41,709	No
LACF099	399	12.8	No	2.6	22.6	128,902	41,709	No
LACF102	9,184	39.0	No	4.1	22.6	79,579	41,709	No
LACF105	3,713	80.4	Yes	7.3	22.6	61,441	41,709	No
LACF106	15,933	48.2	No	2.7	22.6	107,285	41,709	No
LACF107	25,129	66.1	Yes	7.6	22.6	64,393	41,709	No
LACF108	10,497	35.2	No	3.6	22.6	110,665	41,709	No
LACF111	11,851	34.0	No	3.3	22.6	89,999	41,709	No
LACF114	4,611	69.2	Yes	19.4	22.6	42,446	41,709	No



Site ID	Population within One-Mile Radius	Minority Population (%) <sup>4</sup>	Meet Minority Population Threshold (>50%)?	Families below Poverty Level (%)	Families below Poverty Level Threshold (%) <sup>5</sup>	Median Household Income (\$)	Low-Income Threshold ≤ 80% AMI (\$) <sup>6</sup>	Meet Low-Income Population Thresholds ?
LACF117	10,254	71.4	Yes	16.8	22.6	40,259	41,709	Yes
LACF118	11,824	92.0	Yes	8.6	22.6	58,296	41,709	No
LACF120	13,397	81.1	Yes	2.6	22.6	72,496	41,709	No
LACF123	1,291	35.5	No	4.9	22.6	143,611	41,709	No
LACF129	259	61.0	Yes	19.7	22.6	37,484	41,709	Yes
LACF132	7,148	46.2	No	4.8	22.6	88,835	41,709	No
LACF140	152	28.9	No	3.8	22.6	115,293	41,709	No
LACF141	7,319	47.4	No	3.7	22.6	105,373	41,709	No
LACF144	7,503	13.4	No	4.5	22.6	83,887	41,709	No
LACF146	7,652	83.2	Yes	4.4	22.6	96,617	41,709	No
LACF149	3,730	42.5	No	4.8	22.6	87,607	41,709	No
LACF151	17,255	40.9	No	2.7	22.6	65,589	41,709	No
LACF153	23,092	64.1	Yes	6.1	22.6	69,615	41,709	No
LACF154	23,964	72.7	Yes	8.8	22.6	53,520	41,709	No
LACF157	833	15.5	No	5.6	22.6	76,236	41,709	No
LACF159	26,640	93.7	Yes	17.4	22.6	44,338	41,709	No
LACF161	54,952	89.2	Yes	16.0	22.6	40,393	41,709	Yes
LACF162	26,201	97.1	Yes	16.2	22.6	44,972	41,709	No
LACF163	67,435	97.5	Yes	21.1	22.6	37,683	41,709	Yes
LACF164	51,848	100.8	Yes	25.1	22.6	31,926	41,709	Yes
LACF169	22,585	88.0	Yes	8.6	22.6	51,143	41,709	No
LACF171	46,552	96.0	Yes	16.1	22.6	37,465	41,709	Yes
LACF173	23,190	97.4	Yes	12.3	22.6	52,552	41,709	No
LACF181	33,293	95.3	Yes	20.8	22.6	34,028	41,709	Yes
LACF183	27,031	89.9	Yes	16.4	22.6	40,346	41,709	Yes
LACF184	19,540	93.5	Yes	11.4	22.6	44,315	41,709	No
LACF187	8,700	70.0	Yes	4.2	22.6	51,233	41,709	No
LACF188	15,858	83.2	Yes	5.4	22.6	85,421	41,709	No
LACF192	24,144	75.0	Yes	9.7	17.2	53,281	57,074	Yes
LACF194	17,741	70.0	Yes	2.6	19.9 <sup>9</sup>	83,673	49,392 <sup>10</sup>	No
LACFCP02	9,312	61.4	Yes	6.2	22.6	83,306	41,709	No
LACFCP09	27	66.7	Yes	0	22.6	63,306	41,709	No
LACFCP14	3	33.3	No	0.0	22.6	69,793	41,709	No
LACHAR	38,113	85.6	Yes	8.2	22.6	55,375	41,709	No

<sup>9</sup> The percentage of families below poverty threshold is 17.2 percent for Orange County and 22.6 percent for Los Angeles County. The average of these two values is 19.9 percent.

<sup>10</sup> The 80 percent AMI for Orange County is \$57,074 and \$41,709 for Los Angeles County. The average of these two threshold values is \$49,392.

Site ID	Population within One-Mile Radius	Minority Population (%) <sup>4</sup>	Meet Minority Population Threshold (>50%)?	Families below Poverty Level (%)	Families below Poverty Level Threshold (%) <sup>5</sup>	Median Household Income (\$)	Low-Income Threshold ≤ 80% AMI (\$) <sup>6</sup>	Meet Low-Income Population Thresholds ?
LACOLV	14,446	88.3	Yes	6.3	22.6	59,842	41,709	No
LACUSC	43,917	98.4	Yes	27.4	22.6	28,853	41,709	Yes
LAFD005	11,481	36.8	No	6.1	22.6	84,540	41,709	No
LAFD012	45,877	88.2	Yes	14.7	22.6	42,209	41,709	No
LAFD015	52,066	82.2	Yes	34.0	22.6	22,167	41,709	Yes
LAFD016	25,167	97.3	Yes	18.1	22.6	41,172	41,709	Yes
LAFD019	18,403	14.9	No	3.9	22.6	96,647	41,709	No
LAFD029	72,235	86.7	Yes	17.7	22.6	32,251	41,709	Yes
LAFD035	58,979	51.8	Yes	22.1	22.6	35,515	41,709	Yes
LAFD042	22,105	62.0	Yes	7.5	22.6	62,278	41,709	No
LAFD044	25,187	88.8	Yes	18.2	22.6	44,125	41,709	No
LAFD047	27,614	94.2	Yes	18.1	22.6	42,667	41,709	No
LAFD049	2,445	87.6	Yes	27.9	22.6	29,767	41,709	Yes
LAFD055	31,174	73.9	Yes	7.0	22.6	56,279	41,709	No
LAFD061	41,204	35.5	No	5.1	22.6	62,450	41,709	No
LAFD066	46,426	99.0	Yes	22.4	22.6	33,219	41,709	Yes
LAFD074	25,200	40.3	No	9.8	22.6	53,097	41,709	No
LAFD076	6,071	21.1	No	5.0	22.6	87,267	41,709	No
LAFD077	7,607	62.7	Yes	9.5	22.6	54,919	41,709	No
LAFD079	14,061	89.9	Yes	12.8	22.6	48,652	41,709	No
LAFD080	3,960	28.1	No	1.1	22.6	84,290	41,709	No
LAFD081	45,124	84.8	Yes	21.3	22.6	38,874	41,709	Yes
LAFD082	56,237	50.1	Yes	20.5	22.6	30,010	41,709	Yes
LAFD084	17,975	32.9	No	3.7	22.6	65,274	41,709	No
LAFD085	31,406	74.5	Yes	9.6	22.6	51,235	41,709	No
LAFD088	26,359	31.4	No	6.3	22.6	58,635	41,709	No
LAFD093	21,486	34.7	No	6.6	22.6	57,228	41,709	No
LAFD094	38,059	96.3	Yes	23.4	22.6	33,000	41,709	Yes
LAFD095	9,504	89.3	Yes	17.2	22.6	38,727	41,709	Yes
LAFD096	14,734	51.0	Yes	3.3	22.6	66,008	41,709	No
LAFD097	8,512	15.5	No	3.9	22.6	104,413	41,709	No
LAFD101	19,195	39.5	No	5.0	22.6	71,534	41,709	No
LAFD105	20,289	35.6	No	3.6	22.6	71,035	41,709	No
LAFD114	14,017	65.7	Yes	9.9	22.6	57,075	41,709	No
LALG100	20,653	17.3	No	3.6	22.6	100,178	41,709	No
LALG300	2,152	9.2	No	1.5	22.6	122,378	41,709	No
LALG-HQ	22,911	24.3	No	11.1	22.6	68,651	41,709	No

Site ID	Population within One-Mile Radius	Minority Population (%) <sup>4</sup>	Meet Minority Population Threshold (>50%)?	Families below Poverty Level (%)	Families below Poverty Level Threshold (%) <sup>5</sup>	Median Household Income (\$)	Low-Income Threshold ≤ 80% AMI (\$) <sup>6</sup>	Meet Low-Income Population Thresholds ?
LAN	14,778	71.7	Yes	31.9	22.6	24,044	41,709	Yes
LAPD077	66,358	99.8	Yes	32.6	22.6	25,716	41,709	Yes
LAPDCEN	37,484	69.5	Yes	15.5	22.6	14,999	41,709	Yes
LAPDDVN	26,536	55.0	Yes	9.0	22.6	58,891	41,709	No
LAPDFTH	26,793	97.8	Yes	15.5	22.6	41,510	41,709	Yes
LAPDHLB	51,137	99.1	Yes	28.6	22.6	27,857	41,709	Yes
LAPDHWD	51,440	49.5	No	19.5	22.6	32,261	41,709	Yes
LAPDMIS	15,471	73.6	Yes	3.9	22.6	69,989	41,709	No
LAPDNED	30,271	73.2	Yes	14.5	22.6	42,878	41,709	No
LAPDNHD	43,984	53.4	Yes	14.2	22.6	41,551	41,709	Yes
LAPDNWT	71,315	100.5	Yes	40.5	22.6	30,001	41,709	Yes
LAPDOLY	103,494	96.8	Yes	27.8	22.6	24,920	41,709	Yes
LAPDPAC	36,382	62.3	Yes	10.6	22.6	50,484	41,709	No
LAPDRAM	97,833	92.8	Yes	34.6	22.6	21,912	41,709	Yes
LAPDTOP	37,600	73.1	Yes	14.8	22.6	46,630	41,709	No
LAPDVDC	12,125	35.6	No	3.4	22.6	89,494	41,709	No
LAPDVNS	48,386	68.2	Yes	18.3	22.6	39,594	41,709	Yes
LAPDWIL	45,745	85.1	Yes	16.3	22.6	41,665	41,709	Yes
LAPDWLA	45,755	41.0	No	9.8	22.6	59,922	41,709	No
LAPDWVD	37,006	65.6	Yes	11.1	22.6	49,651	41,709	No
LASDALD	16,609	54.9	Yes	4.2	22.6	84,068	41,709	No
LASDCSN	22,680	91.6	Yes	5.6	22.6	61,353	41,709	No
LASDCVS	22,230	41.5	No	6.5	22.6	70,349	41,709	No
LASDIDT	15,801	95.8	Yes	9.7	22.6	54,250	41,709	No
LASDLKD	25,834	52.1	Yes	3.6	22.6	70,091	41,709	No
LASDLNX	61,811	98.0	Yes	23.1	22.6	35,200	41,709	Yes
LASDNCC	6,947	88.9	Yes	4.2	22.6	51,457	41,709	No
LASDNWK	27,481	89.1	Yes	10.1	22.6	53,114	41,709	No
LASDPRV	27,321	96.5	Yes	8.5	22.6	55,321	41,709	No
LASDSCV	12,564	34.6	No	3.9	22.6	77,269	41,709	No
LASDSDM	14,292	52.2	Yes	4.6	22.6	67,045	41,709	No
LASDTEM	27,912	83.4	Yes	7.5	22.6	57,455	41,709	No
LBFD002	69,562	70.7	Yes	23.4	22.6	34,721	41,709	Yes
LBFD006	171	45.6	No	3.1	22.6	65,095	41,709	No
LBFD009	21,430	57.9	Yes	5.4	22.6	64,543	41,709	No
LBFD012	34,721	91.3	Yes	14.3	22.6	44,125	41,709	No
LBFD013	25,386	92.0	Yes	15.7	22.6	44,297	41,709	No

Site ID	Population within One-Mile Radius	Minority Population (%) <sup>4</sup>	Meet Minority Population Threshold (>50%)?	Families below Poverty Level (%)	Families below Poverty Level Threshold (%) <sup>5</sup>	Median Household Income (\$)	Low-Income Threshold ≤ 80% AMI (\$) <sup>6</sup>	Meet Low-Income Population Thresholds ?
LBFD021	11,588	17.8	No	1.2	19.9 <sup>11</sup>	91,062	49,392 <sup>12</sup>	No
LBFD026	7,187	32.4	No	4.3	22.6	84,719	41,709	No
LBPDHQ	37,248	75.0	Yes	23.9	22.6	29,537	41,709	Yes
LDWP220	9,303	85.0	Yes	3.8	22.6	62,174	41,709	No
LHS	5,541	21.8	No	4.0	22.6	86,376	41,709	No
LVFD002	12,628	40.3	No	3.3	22.6	87,943	41,709	No
LVRNPD	13,287	50.6	Yes	8.3	22.6	58,919	41,709	No
MBFD001	23,323	13.4	No	1.8	22.6	127,735	41,709	No
MLM	6,384	82.3	Yes	8.5	22.6	69,659	41,709	No
MNRVPD	23,285	57.8	Yes	5.5	22.6	55,321	41,709	No
MNTBLPD	30,351	91.2	Yes	13.1	22.6	47,291	41,709	No
MNTPKPD	36,333	95.6	Yes	11.5	22.6	45,222	41,709	No
MOR	41,343	24.3	No	6.6	22.6	71,653	41,709	No
MRFD002	23,334	78.5	Yes	8.4	22.6	60,522	41,709	No
MTBFD03	39,734	97.7	Yes	17.3	22.6	41,233	41,709	Yes
MTW	31,841	81.0	Yes	16.8	22.6	45,769	41,709	No
PASA001	14,357	53.9	Yes	5.2	22.6	68,776	41,709	No
PASFD33	42,318	68.0	Yes	16.9	22.6	43,214	41,709	No
PHN	3,407	86.4	Yes	5.0	22.6	97,496	41,709	No
PLM	14,030	90.4	Yes	37.2	22.6	23,221	41,709	Yes
RANCHO	26,488	85.0	Yes	9	22.6	55,390	41,709	No
RDBFD02	39,534	42.2	No	4	22.6	78,922	41,709	No
RDNBPD	25,337	27.6	No	3.4	22.6	85,023	41,709	No
REH	43,480	65.6	Yes	15.1	22.6	46,145	41,709	No
SCH	27,978	83.0	Yes	24.1	22.6	28,543	41,709	Yes
SEP	48,144	99.8	Yes	29.7	22.6	28,869	41,709	Yes
SFSFD03	8,699	88.5	Yes	4.4	22.6	77,945	41,709	No
SFSFD04	16,982	89.8	Yes	5.7	22.6	58,740	41,709	No
SLA	35,752	98.6	Yes	25.1	22.6	32,876	41,709	Yes
SMFD002	28,318	26.4	No	9.5	22.6	55,671	41,709	No
SOGTPD	61,488	99.0	Yes	18.6	22.6	41,565	41,709	Yes
SVP	1,609	10.8	No	2.2	22.6	141,988	41,709	No
SWP	58,866	98.4	Yes	26.3	22.6	30,858	41,709	Yes
TORC001	21,547	64.1	Yes	6.3	22.6	63,814	41,709	No
TORFD02	14,417	48.5	No	5.0	22.6	65,769	41,709	No

<sup>11</sup> Average threshold value from two reference counties, Los Angeles County and Orange County.

<sup>12</sup> *Ibid*

Site ID	Population within One-Mile Radius	Minority Population (%) <sup>4</sup>	Meet Minority Population Threshold (>50%)?	Families below Poverty Level (%)	Families below Poverty Level Threshold (%) <sup>5</sup>	Median Household Income (\$)	Low-Income Threshold ≤ 80% AMI (\$) <sup>6</sup>	Meet Low-Income Population Thresholds ?
TORFD03	23,225	64.2	Yes	3.8	22.6	63,531	41,709	No
TORFD04	24,189	33.6	No	2.9	22.6	87,926	41,709	No
VEFD001	16,884	99.4	Yes	19.7	22.6	37,275	41,709	Yes
VEFD003	6,717	98.0	Yes	35.5	22.6	25,607	41,709	Yes
WAL	6,289	85.7	Yes	3.3	22.6	108,196	41,709	No
WCFD004	21,633	89.6	Yes	5.6	22.6	65,453	41,709	No
WCFD005	25,301	92.7	Yes	5.2	22.6	74,719	41,709	No
WHD	37,350	18.0	No	6.6	22.6	61,455	41,709	No

**APPENDIX G-2**

**NIELSEN DEMOGRAPHIC UPDATE METHODOLOGY**

**Nielsen  
Demographic Update  
Methodology**



*Pop-Facts* is a registered trademark of The Nielsen Company (US), LLC. Nielsen and the Nielsen logo are trademarks or registered trademarks of CZT/ACN Trademarks, L.L.C. Other company names and product names are trademarks or registered trademarks of their respective companies and are hereby acknowledged.

This documentation contains proprietary information of The Nielsen Company. Publication, disclosure, copying, or distribution of this document or any of its contents is prohibited, unless consent has been obtained from The Nielsen Company.

Some of the data in this document is for illustrative purposes only and may not contain or reflect the actual data and/or information provided by Nielsen to its clients.

Copyright © 2011 The Nielsen Company. All rights reserved.



# Contents

**Contents..... i**

**Introduction ..... 1**

- Overview ..... 1
- Experienced Leadership ..... 1
- Evaluation and Support Materials ..... 1
- Demographic Update ..... 2
- Changes to Methodology and Data Sources..... 2
  - Census 2010..... 2
  - American Community Survey Data ..... 2
- Top-Down vs. Bottom-up Methodologies..... 3
- Trending ..... 3
- Estimation Date ..... 3

**Variable Categories..... 5**

**Geography ..... 7**

**Data Overview ..... 9**

- Base Counts..... 9
- Population Characteristics..... 9
  - Population by Age/Sex ..... 9
  - Population by Race/Ethnicity..... 9
- Household Characteristics..... 10
  - Household Income ..... 10
  - Household Size ..... 10
  - Income by Age of Householder ..... 10
- Housing Unit Characteristics ..... 11
- Housing Value..... 11
- Ratio-Adjusted Tables..... 11

**Base Counts ..... 13**

- Incorporation of 2010 Census Counts ..... 13
- Total U.S. Population..... 13
- State..... 13
- County ..... 14
- Census Tract..... 15
  - Event Tracts ..... 15
  - Five-year projections ..... 15
- Block Group ..... 16
- ZIP Code Estimates and Projections ..... 16
  - Census Data for ZIP Codes ..... 17
  - Census Data Differences and Median Values ..... 17
  - ZIP Code Data from the Nielsen Demographic Update..... 17
  - Enhanced Aggregation of Estimates to ZIP Codes ..... 18

<b>Population Characteristics.....</b>	<b>19</b>
Population by Age/Sex.....	19
Accounting for Births .....	19
Exceptions to Cohort Survival .....	20
Five-Year Projections .....	20
Population by Race and Ethnicity .....	20
Estimates and Projections of Race and Hispanic Ethnicity.....	20
Five-Year Projections .....	21
All-Inclusive Race .....	21
Population by Age/Sex by Race/Ethnicity .....	21
<b>Household Characteristics.....</b>	<b>23</b>
Households by Income.....	23
Income Estimation Method.....	23
Five-Year Projections .....	24
Household Effective Buying Income .....	25
Income by Age of Householder.....	25
Income by Race and Ethnicity of Householder.....	26
Householders by Race and Ethnicity.....	26
Households by Size .....	26
Households by Year Moved Into Unit .....	27
<b>Housing Unit Characteristics .....</b>	<b>29</b>
Housing Value.....	29
Five-Year Projections.....	29
Housing Units by Year Built.....	30
<b>American Community Survey Enhanced Data .....</b>	<b>31</b>
<b>Additional Terminology .....</b>	<b>33</b>
Consistency of Complete Count and Sample Census Totals.....	33
Adjustment Techniques.....	33
Ratio Adjustment .....	33
Iterative Proportional Fitting.....	33
Income Distributions.....	34
Ethnic Classifications.....	34
Extended Income and Pareto Interpolation .....	35
Inflation and Income .....	35

---

# Introduction

## Overview

This methodology document covers the 2012.1 Nielsen demographic update. With over 30 years of experience, Nielsen has a proven track record as an industry leader producing quality data. Nielsen maintains this position by continually innovating and improving upon the methodology used for the demographic update. Nielsen utilizes existing and new external data resources that can lead to increased accuracy, and is continually looking to the future and to changes that may impact the demographic estimation process. One example of this was the replacement of the census long form with the American Community Survey (ACS).

This document will outline the process for the development of the 2012.1 update. It includes household and population data covering more than 4,000 demographic variables from Census 1990 and Census 2000, as well as current-year estimates and five-year projections.

## Experienced Leadership

The Nielsen demographics team is headed by Dr. Ken Hodges, who brings a 29-year history of groundbreaking contributions to the field of applied demography. After earning his doctorate in Sociology and Demography from Cornell University, Dr. Hodges joined Donnelly Marketing Information Services (DMIS) in 1982 before joining Nielsen in 1993.

During his tenure, Dr. Hodges has developed and refined methods for using consumer database information as input to small area estimates, and adapted standard demographic methods for use in nationwide small area estimates.

In addition to his work at Nielsen, Dr. Hodges' professional involvements include the following:

- Author, *A Compass for Understanding and Using American Community Survey Data: What the Business Community Needs to Know*
- Board Member, Association of Public Data Users
- Board Member, Council of Professional Associations on Federal Statistics
- Member, Population Association of America Committee on Population Statistics

## Evaluation and Support Materials

The Nielsen demographic update is supported by extensive research and evaluation, with results often documented in professional papers. In addition to this methodology document, papers describing the following topics are available:

- ACS multi-year estimates as proxies for point in time data
- Evaluation of 2000 estimates against Census 2000 results
- Evaluation of consumer database counts against Census 2000 results
- Comparison of 1996 estimates and 2001 projections from alternative suppliers
- Evaluation of geometric data retrieval methods

## Demographic Update

The update is a shorthand term for the massive set of demographic estimates and projections produced for the Nielsen Pop-Facts products. Estimates consist of data prepared for the current year, and projections (sometimes called forecasts) prepared for dates five years in the future.

The update is brought up to date for many geographic levels including national, state, county, census tract, and block group. Data is also available for commonly-used areas such as metropolitan areas, cities/towns, ZIP Codes, and media areas such as DMAs. Because it is produced for small areas, the update can be easily aggregated to custom geographic areas specified by the user.

The update begins with the estimation and projection of base counts, such as total population, household population, group quarters population, households, family households, and housing units. Characteristics related to these base counts are then estimated. Population characteristics include age, sex, race, and Hispanic ethnicity. Households are estimated by age of householder and income. Owner-occupied housing units are estimated by value.

## Changes to Methodology and Data Sources

Nielsen is always looking for ways to innovate and improve on estimation techniques, to adapt to a changing demographic landscape, and to take advantage of new resources. In recent updates, the following changes were implemented:

### Census 2010

The 2012.1 demographic update is the first to incorporate small area counts from the 2010 census. Specifically, the 2012.1 estimates build from the 2010 census counts of population, households, housing units, population by race and Hispanic ethnicity – as released as part of the Census 2010 PL 94-171 redistricting files. Additionally, an advance release of the group quarters population from 2010 Summary File 1 (SF1) was utilized for persons in group quarters estimates.

The 2010 census data described above was incorporated down to the block group level. However, because the 2012.1 update is provided for 2000 census geography (mapping and geocoding capabilities for 2010 geography are not yet in common use) Nielsen completed a special conversion of the 2010 census data to 2000 census geography to make incorporation in the 2012.1 update possible.

### American Community Survey Data

The Nielsen demographic update continues to implement new ACS data as available from the U.S. Census Bureau. The 2012.1 update is the first to introduce ACS 5-Year data at the block group level. The 2012.1 update also continues to utilize ACS 1-Year and 3-Year ACS data for larger areas.

Since the 2010 update, the formerly ratio-adjusted data items have been significantly upgraded through the use of control totals based on the most recent one-year and three-year estimates from the ACS. The 2012.1 Nielsen demographic update continues the use of control totals based on the one-year and three-year ACS data, and introduces the use of five-year ACS data for these data items at the block group level. In areas where the ACS sample is thin, the ACS data is augmented through the addition of responses from nearby neighborhoods.

In addition to the ratio-adjusted data items, the ACS data described above also contributes to the estimates of households by size, housing by year built, and households by year moved into unit. ACS data contributes to estimates of median income and median home value, but the full transition of these data items to the ACS

base is deferred pending the adaptation of current methods for use with the small area ACS base data.

## **Top-Down vs. Bottom-up Methodologies**

Over the years, some descriptions of small-area estimation methods have emphasized a distinction between top-down and bottom-up methodologies. The distinction is overdone, as estimates produced with pure top-down or bottom-up methods are rare. A pure top-down method might produce estimates at the county level, and then distribute to tracts and block groups without reference to any post-census tract and block group data. This approach has obvious and severe limitations for small areas. On the other hand, a pure bottom-up method would use post-census block group data to produce estimates at that level, and then sum to all larger areas, without reference to independent estimates for larger areas such as cities, counties, and states. This approach has severe limitations for larger areas.

Nielsen estimates combine the strengths of top-down and bottom-up methods. Post-census data for tracts and block groups drive the estimates at those levels, while post-census data for counties and states drive the estimates at those levels. The small area estimates are then adjusted to conform with the independent estimates for larger areas. This approach is common because it is designed to achieve maximum accuracy at all levels. Thus it cannot be described as either top-down or bottom-up.

In a bottom-up approach Nielsen identifies growth trends using small data sources such as USPS, new construction data, and the Nielsen Master Address File (MAF). The MAF contains over 135 million records and is informed by various household level sources such as Epsilon, Valassis, and InfoUSA.

Once the trends have been identified and estimates have been generated at the block group level, a top-down approach is taken in applying controls that will prevent the generation of unrealistic estimates at larger geographic levels such as county or state. Nielsen utilizes county level data provided annually by the Census Bureau and other federal agencies as control totals for the small area estimates.

## **Trending**

To take full advantage of methodological refinements and new data resources, each set of updates begins not with the previous year's estimates, but with data from the most recent decennial census. For this reason, the difference between estimates for consecutive years is not an estimate of change from one year to the next. Change is estimated with reference to the previous census numbers. It is not recommend to trend current year estimates from one year to the next. Changes in data values could be caused by differing methodologies, new data sources, and/or true change in data values.

Because the 2012.1 update is the first to incorporate small area population and household counts from the 2010 census, discontinuities with the previous update (2011.1) will be even more common.

## **Estimation Date**

The target date for estimates and projections is January 1 of the relevant year.

**This page intentionally left blank.**

---

# Variable Categories

The Nielsen demographic update includes the categories and data items listed below.

## **Population & Race**

- Base Count Population, Households, Housing Units, Families, Group Quarters
- Population by Age, Sex, Race, Ethnicity
- Population by Ancestry/Origin
- Population by Language Spoken
- Population by Marital Status
- Means and Medians

## **Housing & Household Composition**

- Households by Age, Race, Ethnicity, and Tenure
- Housing Units by Value
- Households by Size (number of persons) and Presence of Children
- Households by Sex of Unmarried Partners
- Housing Units by Year Built
- Housing Units by Number of Units
- Households by Year Householder Moved In
- Households by Vehicles Available
- Housing Units by Vacancy Status
- Means and Medians

## **Affluence & Education**

- Households by Income, Age, Race and Ethnicity of Householder
- Effective Buying Income (EBI)
- Household Income by Type
- Families by Poverty Status
- Population Educational Attainment by Sex and Ethnicity
- Population by Level of School Enrollment
- Means and Medians

## **Employment & Occupation**

- Population by Employment Status and Sex
- Population by Transportation to Work
- Population by Travel Time to Work
- Population by Class of Worker
- Population by Industry and Occupation
- Means and Medians

**This page intentionally left blank.**



# Geography

The Nielsen demographic update is prepared for a wide range of geographic areas. Although the 2012.1 update incorporates population and household counts from the 2010 census, the estimates are still provided in the tracts, block groups and blocks defined for the 2000 census. This is because mapping and address coding based on 2010 geography are not yet the industry standard.

Standard Macro Geographies	Standard Micro Geographies	Industry Geographies
Country* [1] State* [51] County* [3K+] Designated Market Area (DMA) [200+] Combined Statistical Area (CSA) [100+] Core Based Statistical Area – Metropolitan/Micropolitan (CBSA) [900+] Three-Digit Zip (TDZ) [800+]	Place [25K+] Tract* [60K+] Block Group* [200K+] Block* [8M+] Zip Code [30K+] Minor Civil Division (MCD) [Includes Census Civil Divisions (CCD)*] [35K+]	Cable [9K+] Wire Centers [20K+] Yellow Pages Directory (YPD) [7K+] Major & Basic Trading Area Wireless (MTA & BTA) [500 +] Metro/Rural Service Area for Wireless (RSA) [700+]

\* Census geographies

**This page intentionally left blank.**

---

# Data Overview

## Base Counts

Base counts are the basic totals for population, households, family households, group quarters population, and housing units. At the national, state, and county geography levels, base count updates are based on estimates from the Census Bureau. At the census tract and block group levels, base count information is based on sources including trends in United States Postal Service (USPS) deliverable address counts, counts from the Nielsen Master Address File, and Valassis counts of new housing units.

## Population Characteristics

Population is estimated for the following demographic characteristics:

- Age
- Sex
- Race
- Hispanic ethnicity
- Age by sex by race by Hispanic ethnicity

## Population by Age/Sex

Age/sex distribution is estimated using a modified cohort survival method, which ages population based on age/sex specific survival probabilities, and estimates births over the estimation period. Group quarters and other populations that do not age in place are not aged. The method is applied first at county level, using the United States Census Bureau's most recent estimates of county population by age/sex as a starting point. Tract age/sex estimates are produced next, and controlled to the county estimates, and then block group age/sex estimates are produced and controlled to tract level.

## Population by Race/Ethnicity

Race by Hispanic ethnicity is estimated for 14 categories reflecting single classification race. For this first update following the introduction of 2010 census data on race and Hispanic ethnicity, estimates at the county, tract, and block group levels were all produced based on 2000-2010 census trends. The 2000-2010 trends were identified through the Nielsen conversion of 2010 census race/Hispanic counts to the tracts and block groups defined for the 2000 census.

## Household Characteristics

Households are estimated for the following characteristics:

- Household income
- Household size
- Age of householder
- Race and ethnicity
- Year householder moved into unit

## Household Income

Income estimates and projections reflect the census money income definition, and are produced for current dollar values. Rates of change in median income are estimated first, and then Census 2000 income distributions are advanced to reflect the estimated rate of change. Income estimates at the county level and above reflect income change indicated by the Bureau of Economic Analysis (BEA) income estimates, income statistics from the Internal Revenue Service (IRS), and in large counties, income estimates from the Census Bureau ACS.

Income change at the tract and block group levels is estimated based on a combination of:

- Change in consumer financial information from the Epsilon Consumer Marketing database
- Change in income summarized from the TotalSource consumer household database
- Tract level estimates of median household income from the ACS.
- Projections of inter-censal trends

Distributions of Census 2000 income are advanced to the estimated and projected target dates through a process that estimates the movement of households from one income category to the next based on the specific area's estimated rate of income growth.

## Household Size

The distribution of households by size starts with Census 2000 distributions, and advances them to current year based on estimated change in persons per household (average household size). Iterative proportional fitting (IPF) is then used to ensure consistency with previously estimated household totals and average household size. For more information about IPF, see the "Additional Terminology" section.

## Income by Age of Householder

The income-by-age estimates are produced after those for population by age and households by income. The household-by-income estimates serve as totals for the income dimension, but persons-by-age estimates are converted to householders-by-age through the use of headship rates reflecting Census 2000 householder-by-age data. The households-by-income and householders-by-age estimates serve as income and age row and column totals for the estimated income by age table. Cell values (specific income-by-age categories) are estimated through iterative proportional fitting of Census 2000 income-by-age data to the estimated income and age totals. This process yields income-by-age values that not only sum to the income and age estimates, but also preserve the statistical relationship between income and age for each area as measured by the census.

## Housing Unit Characteristics

Housing units are estimated for the following characteristics:

- Total number of owner-occupied units
- Value of owner-occupied units
- Year structure built

## Housing Value

Housing value is estimated for all owner occupied housing units. As with income, the method begins with the estimation of a rate of change, which is then used to advance 2000 census distribution to current and projection year.

At the state and national levels, target rates of change in value are based on change in value estimated by the 2009 ACS, as well as change in the House Price Index from the Federal Housing Finance Agency (FHFA).

At the metropolitan area level, the FHFA data is combined with change in median sales price data from the National Association of Realtors (NAR) to estimate change. An additional data source contributing to estimated change at the county level is that containing the most recent estimates of median home value from the ACS. Tract rates of change are estimated based on a combination of projected inter-censal trends, post-2000 change in average mortgage amounts from the Epsilon Consumer Marketing database, and home value data from Acxiom files.

As with income, estimated rates of change are used to advance the Census 2000 distributions to current year. The national and state rates serve only as targets (not control totals) for the county estimates, while the tract and block group estimates are both controlled to the next higher level.

## Ratio-Adjusted Tables

In addition to the demographic estimates and projections, past updates have provided a series of detailed Census 2000 tables that have been ratio-adjusted to relevant current-year totals. Effective with the 2010 update, most of these tables have been enhanced with the most recent ACS estimates for counties with populations of 20,000 or more. Effective with the 2012.1 update, most formerly ratio-adjusted tables now build from the five-year ACS data, which is available for all counties, census tracts, and block groups, regardless of size.

**This page intentionally left blank.**

---

# Base Counts

For this document, base counts include basic totals such as population, households (occupied housing units), family households (households with two or more related persons), group quarters population (persons in dormitories, military quarters, prisons, nursing homes, and other non-household living arrangements), and housing units (a house, apartment, or group of rooms intended to serve as separate living quarters).

## Incorporation of 2010 Census Counts

When the 2012.1 update was produced, the Census Bureau had released the first small area counts from the 2010 census. This PL 94-171 data is used by states for legislative redistricting, and includes counts of population, housing units, and households (counts population by race and Hispanic ethnicity also are provided, and incorporated as described in the Race and Ethnicity section below). The Census Bureau also had issued counts of persons living in group quarters. These 2010 counts were reported at the block level, and were incorporated into the 2012.1 base count estimates at all geographic levels. However, to incorporate the new census counts, Nielsen had to convert them to the 2000 census geographies for which the 2012.1 update is reported.

## Total U.S. Population

Total U.S. population is normally estimated using Census Bureau estimates of total United States resident population (all persons residing in the United States, regardless of citizenship). However, when the 2012.1 update was produced, the Census Bureau had not released any population estimates based on the new 2010 census population count.

The 2012 population estimate was the average of alternative projections from the 2010 census population count – averaging trends based on 2000-2010 change, recent trends in the Census Bureau pre-census estimates, and change from 2010 census to a 2011 projection (not an official estimate) on the Census Bureau website. Group quarters population was projected to 2012 based on the rate of change observed from 2000 to 2010. Households were similarly projected based on the 2000-2010 census trends, and housing units were projected by applying the 2010 housing unit/household ratio to the 2012 household estimate averaged with a projection of housing units based on the 2000-2010 trend.

Five-year projections of the national base counts are produced by applying recent rates of change to the current year estimates described above. Because they are updated infrequently, the Census Bureau national level population projections serve only as a guideline for the population projection, not as control totals.

The final national population totals for 2012 and 2017 also reflect late revisions made during the production of estimates at lower levels of geography.

## State

The 2012 base count estimates for states were produced as projections beyond the 2010 census counts – with rates based on 2000-2010 census change, sometimes combined with trends from the Census Bureau pre-census estimates. Households were projected first, followed by household population (persons in households), and

then persons in group quarters. The estimates of persons in households and persons in group quarters were summed to produce the estimate of total population. Housing units were derived by applying the 2010 census housing/household ratios to the 2012 household estimates. Family households had not yet been reported for the 2010 census, so family households were derived by applying the family/household ratios from the 2011 update to the 2012 estimate of households.

## County

County population estimates are based on the most recent Census Bureau county population estimates, in combination with county population estimates produced by selected states. The Census Bureau estimates lag 18 months behind the Nielsen estimation date, so a series of long- and short-term projections is produced for the target date (in this case, January 2011). The mean of these projections serves as the census-based county population estimate. Where state-produced estimates are available, and contributed to increased accuracy in the past, these estimates also are projected to current year, and averaged with the census-based estimates. The resulting estimates are then adjusted to conform with the state population estimates described above.

As at the state level, household estimates were derived by subtracting estimated group quarters population (based on Census Bureau county group quarters estimates) from total population, and dividing by estimated persons per household. The county estimates of persons per household are based on a combination of inter-censal trends and, for large counties, a careful integration of household size data from the 2009 ACS.

The final county base counts also reflect the adjustments (described above) made to achieve consistency with the 2010 census state population totals.

As at the state level, the 2012 county base count estimates were produced as projections beyond the 2010 census counts – with rates based on 2000-2010 census change, sometimes combined with trends from the Census Bureau pre-census estimates. Households were projected first, followed by household population (persons in households), and then persons in group quarters. The estimates of persons in households and persons in group quarters were summed to produce the estimate of total population. Housing units were derived by applying the 2010 census housing/household ratios to the 2012 household estimates. Family households had not yet been reported for the 2010 census, so family households were derived by applying the family/household ratios from the 2011 update to the 2012 estimate of households. Note that 2000-2010 trends could not be used in counties impacted by Hurricane Katrina, so custom rates were devised for those counties.

Projections of county base counts to 2017 were based on moderated rates of change from 2010 census to the 2012 estimates. Persons in households and persons in group quarters were projected first, and summed to complete the projection of total population. The household projections were derived by dividing projected household population by projections of persons per household. Housing units were derived by applying the 2010 census housing/household ratios to the 2017 household projections, and family households were derived by applying the family/household ratios from the 2011 update to the 2012 household projections.



## Census Tract

The Census Bureau does not produce estimates for census tracts or smaller areas, so estimates are based on other sources. The Nielsen method has used a variety of sources following the 2000 census, and building from the 2010 census, estimates will be based on sources including the following:

- Counts of active residential addresses from the U.S. Postal Service.
- Counts from the Nielsen Master Address File (MAF).
- Valassis counts of new housing units.

The 2012.1 update is the first based on small area base counts from the 2010 census. When the 2012.1 update was produced, the Census Bureau had released 2010 census counts of population, population in households, households, housing units, and population in group quarters. Because the counts were released in 2010 geography (down to block level), the counts were converted to 2000 census geography for use in the 2012.1 update.

Often the first estimates based on new census counts are produced before post-census measures of change are available, and therefore rely heavily on the projection of change since the previous census. The 2012.1 update estimated change based in part on such projections, but also based on change observed in USPS and MAF counts beyond 2010.

Households were estimated first as the average of alternative estimates – based on the 2000-2010 trend, change in USPS counts, and change in MAF counts. Household population (persons in households) was estimated next by projecting the 2010 Census count to 2012 based on the average of the 2000-2010 trend, and the 2010-2012 rate of change in households. Group quarters population was estimated next as the average of conservative projections of the 2000-2010 trend to 2012 and the estimated change in total households. The completed estimates of population in households and population in group quarters were then summed to complete the 2012 estimates of total population. The tract level base count estimates were adjusted to conform with the corresponding estimates at the county level, and the household estimates for selected tracts were revised to improve the estimate of average household size. Estimates of housing units were derived by applying the 2010 census housing/household ratios to the completed household estimates. Census 2010 family household counts had not yet been released, so the 2012 estimates were derived by applying the family/household ratios from the 2011.1 Update to the 2012 household estimates.

## Event Tracts

Modified procedures were required for areas impacted by Hurricane Katrina. For example, 2000-2010 trends would be misleading in these areas, and were dropped from calculations in favor of measures based on post-census change. Also, impact maps and aerial images were used to adjust the estimates for selected areas in Tuscaloosa, AL and Joplin, MO that were hit by tornadoes in spring 2011.

## Five-year projections

Five-year projections of tract-level base counts are produced as nonlinear projections from the 2010 census counts through the current-year estimates. Rapid rates of growth and decline are moderated into the future to reflect the assumption that extreme rates of net migration are unlikely to be sustained over long periods of time. Event tracts, such as those described above, are projected separately in order to reflect the extent of recovery from the relevant event. Initial five-year tract projections are ratio adjusted to county level control totals.

## Block Group

Block group base count estimates were produced with methods and resources similar to those described for the tract level. As at the tract level, all data inputs and estimates were applied for block groups defined for the 2000 census. This includes the 2010 census base counts, which were converted from 2000 to 2010 block groups. The major data resources tracking change since the 2010 census are the following:

- Counts of active residential addresses from the U.S. Postal Service.
- Counts from the Nielsen Master Address File (MAF).
- Valassis counts of new housing units.

Households were estimated first as the average of alternative estimates – based on the 2000-2010 trend, change in USPS counts, and change in Nielsen MAF counts. As at the tract level, modifications were used in Hurricane Katrina impacted areas. Household population (persons in households) was estimated next by projecting the 2010 census count to 2012 based on the average of the 2000-2010 trend, and the 2010-2012 rate of change in households. Group quarters population was estimated next as the average of conservative projections of the 2000-2010 trend to 2012 and the estimated change in total households. The completed estimates of population in households and population in group quarters were then summed to complete the 2012 estimates of total population. The block group base count estimates were adjusted to conform with the corresponding estimates at the tract level, and the household estimates for selected block groups were revised to improve the estimate of average household size. Estimates of housing units were derived by applying the 2010 census housing/household ratios to the completed household estimates. Census 2010 family household counts had not yet been released, so the 2012 estimates were derived by applying the family/household ratios from the 2011.1 Update to the 2012 household estimates.

Five-year projections of block group base counts are produced as nonlinear projections from the 2010 census counts through the current-year estimates. Rapid rates of growth and decline are moderated into the future to reflect the assumption that extreme rates of net migration are unlikely to be sustained over long periods of time. Event tracts, such as those described above, are projected separately in order to reflect the extent of recovery from the relevant event. Initial five-year block group projections are ratio adjusted to tract level control totals.

## ZIP Code Estimates and Projections

Estimates and projections for ZIP Codes are aggregations of estimates already prepared for block groups. As such, there is not a distinct ZIP Code methodology. However, it is important to understand the process used to build ZIP Code estimates as well as the complications involved in analyzing ZIP Code data.

ZIP Code demographic data is widely used, but involves complications not encountered with other geographic areas. ZIP Codes are defined by the USPS for the delivery of mail, not for the presentation of data. They lack definitive boundaries, and change frequently at the determination of postal officials. In addition, ZIP Codes do not conform to the boundaries of other geographies such as counties, cities, census tracts, or census blocks.

Further complicating the specification of ZIP Code demographics is the imperfect relationship between where people live and where they get their mail. Some people live in rural areas where there is no mail delivery and pick up their mail at a specified location such as a post office in a nearby town. The boundaries of such general delivery and P.O. box ZIP Codes (there are about 5,000 of them) are not formally defined. Also, some urban residents elect to pick up some or all of their mail at a

P.O. box—perhaps near their place of work. They reside in one ZIP Code, but receive mail in another. Such ZIP Codes often consist exclusively of P.O. boxes at a post office in a nonresidential area. They have no definable boundaries, as the people receiving mail there may reside in neighborhoods scattered across a wide area.

## Census Data for ZIP Codes

Contrary to common belief, ZIP Codes have not been a standard geography for the reporting of census data. The Census Bureau did release 1980 and 1990 census ZIP Code products, but these products were non-standard and not widely used. Beginning with the 2000 census, the Census Bureau included data for what it calls ZIP Code tabulation areas (ZCTAs). These ZCTAs approximate ZIP Code areas based on the allocation of whole census blocks. The Census Bureau points out that ZCTAs are not ZIP Codes, and users need to understand that ZCTA data does not constitute official ZIP Code estimates. Furthermore, because the Census Bureau does not update ZCTA definitions, these definitions are now about ten years out of date.

## Census Data Differences and Median Values

There are some instances where users may notice differences between the Nielsen published census data and values as published by the U.S. Census Bureau.

For example, users may see differences in the Nielsen published Census 1990, Census 2000 and Census 2010 data collections in two types of situations:

- Because it is not feasible for Nielsen to calculate true median values, the Nielsen demographic update makes use of calculations to estimate median values based on summarized census data. Additionally, these calculations are also applied to product specific summarized data. For this reason, users also may notice differing median values between Nielsen demographic products.
- Differences also may be observed due to varied geographic definitions. For example, because the 2012.1 update was prepared for 2000 census geography, the 2010 census base counts will not always match those published on 2010 census data products. Some differences also will be observed with 2000 census data, as the Nielsen update accounts for changes, such as the creation of Broomfield County, CO, which did not exist at the time of the 2000 census.

## ZIP Code Data from the Nielsen Demographic Update

Nielsen ZIP Code estimates and projections are aggregations of Nielsen estimates for block groups. The process used is similar to that for retrieving data for circles and polygons. Census data, including estimates and projections, already exists for block groups, and is aggregated to the current roster of ZIP Codes reflecting current definitions. Data for all years (including Census 1990, Census 2000, and Census 2010 data) is aggregated the same way to maintain a consistent reference to current ZIP Code definitions.

All Nielsen products provide spatial definition ZIP Code data. Spatial definition ZIP Codes are based on a block group-to-ZIP Code correspondence, which is updated one or more times each year. This correspondence is based on the location of block centroids (latitude/longitude points) within current ZIP Code boundaries estimated by TomTom. If a block's centroid falls within a ZIP Code boundary, it is allocated to that ZIP Code. These block-to-ZIP Code allocations determine the block groups that are included in a given ZIP Code. For block groups allocated to more than one ZIP Code, percent inclusion factors determine the percent of the block group allocated to each ZIP Code. Inclusion factors are updated annually based on the most recent distribution of addresses on the Nielsen Master Address File. For all spatial ZIP Codes, the resulting block group-to-ZIP Code relationship establishes a geographic definition that is used to aggregate block group data to current ZIP Codes.

Nielsen products do not provide demographic data for rural P.O. box or general delivery ZIP Codes. These ZIP Codes serve residents in rural areas where there is no mail delivery; residents pick up their mail at a central location such as a post office. Although included in the roster, these ZIP Codes have no clearly defined spatial dimension, and therefore have no demographic data associated with them. Instead, the data for these ZIP Codes is included in the spatially defined ZIP Code (or multiple ZIP Codes) covering the area near the post office. These are sometimes known as parent ZIP Codes.

It is not unusual to find spatial definition ZIP Code data that appears to be discrepant with deliverable address counts. For example, spatial definition data might indicate no data for a rural P.O. box ZIP Code for which the post office reports 600 residential deliveries. Furthermore, spatial definition estimates for parent ZIP Codes are often higher than delivery counts since they also include the populations served by P.O. box ZIP Codes.

To assist users in identifying areas where spatial and list definition data would show significant differences, Nielsen ZIP Code products also provide counts of deliverable addresses reported by the USPS. When combined with the spatial definition estimates, these counts indicate where different ZIP Code definitions would result in the greatest differences in ZIP Code household and population totals.

## **Enhanced Aggregation of Estimates to ZIP Codes**

ZIP Code correspondence files, which define how block group data are aggregated to ZIP Codes, are highly precise following a census, but they often need updating in areas where post-census population change has been substantial. When this happens, ZIP Code population growth can be under estimated even when the relevant block group estimates reflect the growth. For example, growth that should have been allocated to ZIP Code A might be allocated to adjacent ZIP Code B, thus under estimating growth in ZIP Code A. To guard against such estimation errors, Nielsen updates block group-to-ZIP Code correspondence files to reflect estimated redistribution of population at the census block level. This enhanced aggregation provides more up-to-date factors by which to identify the percent of block group growth in ZIP Code A versus ZIP Code B.

**Note:** This enhancement did not reflect a change to the estimation method, but rather to the process used to aggregate block group estimates to ZIP Codes. For a more detailed discussion of how ZIP Code estimates are built, please see the “Zip Code Estimates and Projections” section.

---

# Population Characteristics

## Population by Age/Sex

Population by age/sex composition is estimated and projected using cohort survival methods. Cohort survival is a major factor in changing age structures, and is driven by the reality that, for example, persons age 35 in 2005 who survive another five years, will be age 40 in 2010. Accordingly, a population with a large proportion of 35 year olds in 2005 can expect to have large proportions of 40 year olds in 2010. It is this process that has swelled the U.S. age structure at progressively older age categories as the baby boom generation (or birth cohort) has aged.

The Nielsen cohort survival method is executed first at the county level, then for tracts, and finally for block groups. Each set of estimates is controlled to the results at the next higher geographic level. To enhance consistency with Census Bureau age/sex estimates, the county estimates begin with the most recent Census Bureau county age/sex estimates.

When the 2012.1 update was produced, population counts by age and sex from the 2010 census had not yet been released. Therefore, tract and block group estimates begin with Census 2000 age/sex estimates. At all levels, the method starts with five-year age/sex categories—separating persons in households from those in group quarters. Because Census 2000 data (and the Census Bureau age/sex estimates) do not provide full age/sex detail for household versus group quarters populations, Nielsen estimates the detail required to execute the cohort survival method.

The cohort survival process is set into motion with the application of age/sex-specific five-year survival rates to the census age/sex data described above. Each round of cohort survival ages the population of each block group ahead five years. For example, the process projects the number of 30-34 year olds in a block group who will survive to become 35-39 years old (and so on for all five-year age categories) by 2005. The initial survival data from Census 2000 yields projections of age/sex composition for April 2005 (short of the January 1, 2012 estimate date), so second and third survival methods are applied to project the age/sex data out to April 2015. Those results are then interpolated to January 2012. In the case of county estimates that start with July 2009 Census Bureau age/sex estimates, the initial survival extends to July 2014, and the results are interpolated to January 2012.

## Accounting for Births

As part of each round of cohort survival, the population less than age five is survived to age 5-9, so an estimate of births is required to fill the vacated 0-4 category. Births are estimated using the child/woman ratio—defined as the population age 0-4 divided by females age 15-44 (childbearing age).

The child/woman ratio is an indirect measure of fertility specific to each small area, but more important, it is sensitive to projected changes in the number of women of child bearing age—itsself a byproduct of the cohort survival process. An increase in the number of child bearing women will result in an increased number of births even if fertility rates (or child-woman ratios) remain constant. The child/woman ratios applied in the Nielsen age/sex estimates and projections are derived from Census 2000, but reflect slight increases evident in the Census Bureau's post-2000 estimates.

## Exceptions to Cohort Survival

The cohort survival process is at work in all areas, but in some areas its effects on age composition are overridden by migration. In the absence of authoritative age-specific migration data for small areas, the Nielsen method defaults to the assumption that the age/sex composition gained or lost through migration is similar to the area's "survived" population.

However, because of migration, the cohort survival process is often not applicable to populations living in group quarters facilities such as dormitories, military quarters, prisons, and nursing homes. These facilities have high turnover rates, resulting in age/sex compositions that tend to be stable as a reflection of the nature of the facility. For this reason, cohort survivals are applied only to the population living in households. Group quarters populations are estimated separately and their age/sex compositions held constant with those measured in the census.

Nielsen also identifies segments of the household population (such as concentrations of college students in off-campus housing) for which cohort survival is not applicable. Concentrations of these "hidden group quarters" populations are identified through their distinctive imprint on small area age compositions, and are similarly exempted from the cohort survival process.

## Five-Year Projections

Five-year projections of age/sex composition are produced with the same method used for the current-year estimates. In the 2012.1 update, the 2012 estimates of population by age/sex were the starting point for five-year survivals to 2017. As with the current-year estimates, age/sex projections are produced first for counties. These estimates are followed by tract- and block-group level data, with adjustments ensuring consistency between geographic levels.

## Population by Race and Ethnicity

There are no universally accepted definitions of race and Hispanic ethnicity. The census currently defines "Hispanic or Latino" as an ethnicity, not a race. Race and Hispanic ethnicity are separate census questions, so in census tabulations, persons of Hispanic ethnicity can be of any race. Hispanics are included in each race category, and the race categories alone sum to total population.

For more information about Ethnic Classifications, see the "Additional Terminology" section.

## Estimates and Projections of Race and Hispanic Ethnicity

When the 2012.1 update was produced, counts of population by race and Hispanic ethnicity from the 2010 census had been released. The counts were provided down to the block level, but for geographies as redefined for the 2010 census. In order to use the new census counts as input to the 2012.1 update, Nielsen converted the 2010 race and Hispanic counts to the 2000 census geographies used for the 2012.1 update.

County-level estimates of race and Hispanic ethnicity normally are based on the most recent Census Bureau estimates of population by race and ethnicity at that level. For the 2012.1 update, the 2010 census counts were the most recent Census Bureau numbers. Estimates to 2012 were produced as projections of the 2000-2010 census trends.

Race/ethnicity estimates below the county level are similarly based on 2000-2010 census trends in the percent of population in each race/ethnicity category. The method focuses on the percent of population in each category. Estimates are

produced first for tract level (with adjustments to county level), then for block groups (with adjustments to tract level).

## **Five-Year Projections**

Five-year projections of race/ethnicity are produced with methods similar to those used for the current-year estimates—projecting the current-year estimates (of percent race/ethnicity) to the five-year projection date. Again, projections are made for percent race/ethnicity distributions, and applied to previously completed projections of population. Counties are projected first, followed by tracts and block groups, with adjustments ensuring consistency between geographic levels.

## **All-Inclusive Race**

Estimates and projections for all-inclusive race/ethnicity are produced as derivatives of the single-classification estimates and projections. For each race/ethnicity category, the Census 2010 ratio of all-inclusive race/single-classification race is applied to the estimate or projection of single-classification race—with adjustments made in some areas to ensure consistency with the number of persons estimated (or projected) to be of two or more races. Because the all-inclusive estimates and projections are derived from data already adjusted to county controls, the all-inclusive estimates and projections are produced only at the block group level, and summed to higher levels.

## **Population by Age/Sex by Race/Ethnicity**

Estimates and projections also are provided for the cross-tabulation of population by age/sex/race/ethnicity. These estimates start with the completed estimates of population by age/sex and population by race/ethnicity at the block group level. Census-based seed values are adjusted to the age/sex and race/ethnicity estimates to produce estimates of the full cross-tabulation. When the 2012.1 update was produced, race and Hispanic ethnicity data had been released, but age data had not. Therefore the race/ethnicity estimates were based on 2010 census, but the age/sex estimates were still based on 2000 census. Similarly, the seed values were drawn from the 2000 census, and because Census 2000 did not provide age/sex/race/ethnicity detail at the block group level, age/sex/race/ethnicity distributions for census tracts are used as “seed values” for component block groups. This application of iterative proportional fitting (IPF) produces block group estimates consistent with estimated age/sex and race/ethnicity, as well as the statistical relationship between these characteristics observed for the census tract in Census 2000.

**This page intentionally left blank.**



---

# Household Characteristics

## Households by Income

The ACS has started producing income estimates at the block group level, but the 2012.1 income estimates continue to build from the 2000 census income distributions at the tract and block group levels. The income estimates will transition to ACS-based distributions with the subsequent update – once the Census Bureau has transitioned ACS data to geographic areas and household totals consistent with the 2010 census. However, as described below, ACS estimates of median household income are key inputs to the 2012.1 update.

All Nielsen income estimates are expressed in current-year dollars using the money income definition reported in the census and ACS. The estimates and projections reflect household income, which includes the income earned by all persons living in a housing unit (i.e., all household members). In contrast to Census 2000, which reported income for the previous calendar year (1999), Nielsen income estimates are for the calendar year relevant to each set of estimates and projections. For example, the 2012 estimates reflect 2012 income for 2012 households.

The method starts by estimating rates of change in median household income for each area. Based on this rate of change, household income distributions from Census 2000 are advanced to current (or projection) year. As with the population estimates and projections, data was first produced for large areas, then for progressively smaller areas, with successive ratio adjustments ensuring consistency between levels. Aggregate, average, and median income numbers were derived from the resulting income distributions.

Nielsen standard household income ranges extend beyond the “\$200,000 or more” category to the following income ranges:

- \$200,000 to \$499,999
- \$500,000 or more

Although few households had incomes this high at the time of Census 2000, the expanded detail is important for analyses in affluent markets. With income growth, incomes in excess of \$200,000 are not as exceptional as they were in 1999, and the five-year projections extend to years when incomes at these levels will be even more commonplace.

The extended income categories were estimated first for 1999 (Census 2000), and are part of Census 2000 data from which the current-year estimates and five-year projections are produced. Pareto methods, which involve an assumption of exponential decay, were applied to the Census 2000 income distribution in each block group to estimate the number of households in each of the extended income categories. For more information about Pareto methods, see the “Additional Terminology” section.

## Income Estimation Method

Income change at the national level is estimated based on national estimates of income change from the Current Population Survey (CPS) and the ACS. The final estimates reflect an average of estimates based on the two sources, projected to current year to reflect recent income change.

State income estimates are based on IRS wage and salary data, BEA estimates of per capita income, and median household income estimates from the ACS. These

sources are used to monitor each state's income growth relative to the national level—change in the ratio of state income to national income. The final rates of change reflect the average of such ratios based on IRS, BEA, and ACS data, as well as a projection of the ratio based on 1990-2000 census trends.

County income rates of change are estimated with similar procedures—this time applying county/state ratios of IRS, BEA, and ACS income data to 2000 census county/state income ratios. Again, the final estimated rates of change reflect the average of ratios based on IRS, BEA, and ACS data.

Income change at the tract level also is estimated with alternative sources, with the final estimated rate reflecting the average of these rates. The first estimate is based on historical performance. Specifically, tracts were estimated to outpace or lag behind county income growth in proportion to their performance relative to county during the 1990 to 2000 census period. The second is based on post-2000 trends in income estimates aggregated from the Epsilon TotalSource consumer household database. The TotalSource income estimates are modeled for all individual household records on the database. The third is based on trends in the Epsilon ACE-Geosummary database, which provides tract level summaries of consumer financial information from the Epsilon Consumer Marketing Database (ECMD). Although not a direct measure of income, the ECMD data item “Average sum of credit limits for bank, national credit card, savings & loan, and credit union revolving accounts” is strongly associated with income at the tract level, so change in this variable is used to track change in income at the tract level.

The approach with all three sources is to track change in the tract/county ratios—or the performance of tract income relative to county level. Income change at the block group level is estimated with sources and methods similar to those described for census tracts above.

The final rates of change for tracts and block groups were further modified by averaging with tract level median household income estimates from the ACS. Although reflecting the period 2005-2009, the ACS tract medians were considered relevant given their inflation adjustment to 2009 dollars, and the dampening of household income in recent years.

For all geographic levels, the estimated rate of income change is used to advance, or shift, the Census 2000 distribution of households by income forward to current year. This procedure involves the estimation of the number of households advancing from one income category to another—based on the area's estimated rate of income growth.

The resulting current-year distribution is adjusted to conform with that estimated for the next higher geographic level. For example, the estimated household income distribution for states is adjusted to the national distribution, the county estimates are adjusted to the final state distributions, and so forth.

## **Five-Year Projections**

Five-year projections of income begin with the projection of current-year median household income to the projection year, and the advancing of the current year household income distribution to reflect the projected change. Income projections for sub-national areas are produced by projecting estimated change in median income to the projection year, and advancing the current-year estimated income distribution to reflect that rate of change. As with the current-year estimates, the initial income distributions are adjusted to the final distributions for the next higher geographic level. State projections are adjusted to the national level, county projections to the state level, and so forth.

## Household Effective Buying Income

Effective Buying Income (EBI) reflects income after taxes. Because EBI is not provided by the census (or ACS), the estimates are computed as derivatives of household income, based on the correspondence between before-tax and after-tax income identified for each state from the CPS. For each state, three-year combinations of CPS data identify the mean before-tax income of households within the income in ranges estimated for the Nielsen demographic update. The CPS also identifies mean income for these same households after deductions for federal income taxes, state taxes, FICA, annual property taxes, and federal retirement payroll deductions. Where relevant, earned income credits were added to refine the measure of after-tax income.

The CPS data provides before-tax to after-tax income rates of change specific to households with before-tax income in selected income ranges—and specific to each state. These rates of change are applied to the current-year-estimated and five-year-projected distributions of households by before-tax income (the standard Nielsen income estimates and projections) to estimate the movement of households to lower income ranges after deductions for taxes. The resulting distribution of households by after-tax income provides the basis for computing mean, median, and aggregate EBI.

## Income by Age of Householder

The cross-tabulation of household income by age of householder is valuable because income and life cycle stage, when combined, are so strongly associated with consumer needs and behavior. The Nielsen income by age updates are produced after the estimates of population by age and households by income have been completed. The data constitutes a 128 cell table defined by 16 categories of household income and 8 categories of householder age. The row and column totals from these tables (the income and age totals) are commonly referred to as the marginal totals.

The estimates of households by income serve as the income marginals, but population by age estimates must be converted to householder by age for use as the age marginals. For each area estimated, 2000 census data is used to determine age-specific headship rates, or the percent of persons in specific age categories who are householders (Note: when the 2012.1 update was produced, 2010 census data on age composition was not yet available). These headship rates are then applied to estimated population by age to produce estimated householders by age. A final adjustment to total households ensures consistency with that critical base count.

With the income and age (row and column) marginal totals estimated, the final step is to estimate the full cross-tabulation of income by age of householder. In other words, values must be determined for each of the 128 income by age categories, or cells. Block group level income by age cell values from Census 2000 provide the initial input (or seed values). Within each age category, Census 2000 income distributions are advanced to reflect the block group's (previously) estimated rate of income growth. This adjustment expresses Census 2000 income by age distribution in current dollar values. The resulting table is then adjusted to conform with both the income and age of householder totals estimated for current year. These adjustments are accomplished through iterative proportional fitting, which adjusts the 2000 table to conform simultaneously with the household income and householder by age estimates, while preserving the block group specific statistical relationship between income and age reflected in Census 2000 income by age data.

The income by age estimates are produced at the county, tract, and block group levels, with adjustments ensuring consistency between levels.

Five-year projections are produced using similar methods. Projected households by income serve as the income marginal totals, and Census 2000 headship rates again are used to convert projected population by age to projected householders by age. The income by age table is then advanced to projection year dollar values, and iteratively adjusted to the projected income and age marginal totals.

## **Income by Race and Ethnicity of Householder**

Estimates and projections of households by the race and ethnicity of the householder are produced by applying the estimated/projected rates of change in income for each area to the income distribution for each race/ethnicity group in the area. The rates of change are used to project each distribution forward to the current (or projected) year, and the resulting distributions are adjusted to conform with the householder by race/ethnicity estimates and projections described below.

## **Householders by Race and Ethnicity**

Estimates and projections of householders by (single assignment) race and Hispanic ethnicity are based on the estimates and projections of population by race/ethnicity.

For each block group, the Census 2000 ratio of householders by race/Hispanic to population by race/ethnicity is identified, and applied to the current-year estimate of population by race/ethnicity. This ratio indicates the percent of persons in each race/ethnicity category who were householders in Census 2000 (Note: when the 2012.1 update was produced, 2010 census counts of population by race and ethnicity had been reported, but householders by race and ethnicity had not been reported. Therefore, it was necessary to apply 2000 census headship ratios to the 2010-based estimates of population by race and ethnicity.) The final ratio is modified somewhat through the adjustment of householders by race to total households for each area, and it is the final current-year ratio that is applied to the five-year projections.

## **Households by Size**

Working at the block group level, estimates of households by size (number of persons) are produced for the following categories:

- 1 person
- 2 persons
- 3 persons
- 4 persons
- 5 persons
- 6 persons
- 7 or more persons

The distribution of households by size from Census 2000 serves as the base from which the current-year estimates are derived (When the 2012.1 update was produced, household size data from the 2010 census had not yet been released.) The 2000 distribution is advanced to current year based on estimated change in persons per household (average household size). Iterative proportional fitting is then used to ensure consistency with estimated household totals and average household size.

Projections of households by size are based on the Census 2000 and current-year estimated distribution of households by size. The current-year distribution is shifted to reflect the growth or decline in average household size during the projection interval. Iterative proportional fitting is then used to ensure consistency with projected household totals and average household size.

## Households by Year Moved Into Unit

Survival probabilities for “Year moved in” are computed from 1990 and 2000 census data (in this case reflecting the loss of residents of specific lengths of residence). These national level probabilities are applied to the Census 2000 distribution of households by “Year Moved In” to establish estimated and projected distributions. Households in excess of those surviving (staying in place) to longer lengths of residence are those estimated to have moved in after Census 2000. Thus, areas with rapid household growth will show the greatest concentrations of new movers.

The method is executed at the block group level, and the results controlled to independent county level estimates based on 1-year, 3-year, and 5-year estimates from the ACS.

**This page intentionally left blank.**

---

# Housing Unit Characteristics

## Housing Value

The ACS has started producing housing value estimates at the block group level, but the 2012.1 value estimates continue to build from the 2000 census housing value distributions at the tract and block group levels. The housing value estimates will transition to ACS-based distributions with the subsequent update – once the Census Bureau has transitioned ACS data to geographic areas and owner occupied housing totals consistent with the 2010 census.

Housing value (often referred to as home value) is estimated and projected for all owner-occupied housing units, and is based on the Census 2000 measure, which reflects census respondents' estimates of how much their dwellings would sell for, or the asking price of units currently for sale. Median value is estimated and projected, as is the distribution of units among the 24 categories of value reported by Census 2000.

The total number of owner-occupied housing units is estimated by applying ACS-based ownership percentages to the completed estimate of total occupied housing units for all block groups. The results are adjusted to county level ACS-based estimates of owner and renter-occupied housing (Note: When the 2012.1 update was produced, owner/renter percentages from the 2010 census had not yet been released. For the 2012.1 update, ACS-based percentages replaced those from the 2000 census, but will be superseded by 2010 census percentages for small areas in the subsequent update.

The basic rate of change in value is estimated first, and is used to advance the Census 2000 distribution of units by value to reflect this rate of change. At the national and state levels, the rate of change in home value is estimated based on change in the House Price Index data from the Federal Housing Finance Agency (FHFA), the Case-Shiller Home Price Index, as well as home value estimates from the ACS.

County rates of change in home value are derived from two sources at the metropolitan area level. The first is data indicating the change in median sales price from the National Association of Realtors (NAR). Changes in sales price reflect only units sold during the time in question, but are strongly associated with overall change in home value. The second source is change in the FHFA House Price Index described above. The ACS is now contributing estimates of median home value for counties.

At the census tract level, change in home value is tracked with ACE-Geosummary data from the Epsilon Consumer Marketing database. The Epsilon files do not measure home value directly, but the variable "Average original mortgage amount" is strongly associated with home value. Nielsen has compiled tract summaries of this variable for all census tracts for years dating back to 2000, and uses trends to track small area changes in home value. The greater the increase in mortgage amounts, the greater the increase in estimated home values. Also contributing to the tract and block group home value estimates are Acxiom home value data aggregated from parcel level records.

## Five-Year Projections

Five-year projections of value are based on rates of change derived from change in median value from Census 2000 to the current-year estimate.

For each geographic level, the estimated rates of change are used to advance the Census 2000 home value distribution to current year. Estimates and projections are produced first at state and national levels, but these estimates serve as targets for the county estimates and projections, rather than control totals. Starting at the county level, the estimates and projections serve as control totals for smaller areas.

## **Housing Units by Year Built**

Estimates and projections of housing units by year built start with the distributions from Census 2000. These distributions are advanced to current-year (and five-year) targets based on housing loss patterns exhibited between the 1990 and 2000 censuses. For example, the number of units built between 1960 and 1969 surviving in 2000 is lower than the number of such units surviving in 1990, and suggests a 10-year survival probability for units of that age. The method establishes a set of such probabilities at the national level, and applies them to Census 2000 housing data to generate the current-year estimates and five-year projections. In most areas, surviving units are fewer than total units (estimated separately), and the excess units form the estimate of units built after 2000. Thus, areas with rapid housing growth will show the greatest concentrations of new housing.

The method is executed at the block group level, and the results controlled to independent county level estimates based on 1-year, 3-year and 5-year estimates from the ACS.



---

# American Community Survey Enhanced Data

With the release of the first set of five-year ACS results, ACS data is now available for all counties, census tracts, and block groups. Rather than ratio-adjusting static decennial census data over a ten year period, these tables reflect ACS data that is updated every year, giving these data items an important element of update. For block groups where the ACS sample is small (and ACS data is at risk of substantial error), Nielsen produces enhanced distributions. The enhanced distribution blends the ACS distribution for the block group in question with the distribution of neighboring block groups – thus drawing from a larger number of ACS responses.

The data items that have been ACS-enhanced are:

- Hispanic or Latino population by specific origin
- Asian population by category of Asian
- Persons by ancestry
- Households by household size, household type, and presence of own children
- Households with unmarried-partners by sex of partner
- Households by presence of persons under 18 years and household type
- Households by household type and household size
- Persons 5+ by language spoken at home
- Population by age, language, and ability to speak English
- Hispanic population age 5+ by ability to speak English
- Persons 15+ by sex and marital status
- Females who gave birth in past year by marital status
- Working population 16+ by means of transportation to work
- Working population 16+ by travel time to work
- Population 25+ by educational attainment
- Hispanic or Latino population 25+ by educational attainment
- Population 3+ by type of school and enrollment level
- Persons 16+ by sex and employment status
- Employed civilian population 16+ by industry
- Employed civilian population 16+ by occupation
- Employed civilian population 16+ by class of worker
- Aggregate household income by type of income
- Families by poverty status, family type, and presence of related children under age 18
- Housing units by units in structure
- Households by tenure, ethnicity and race
- Owner-occupied housing units by mortgage status
- Vacant housing units by vacancy status
- Occupied housing units by tenure and vehicles available

With the implementation of the ACS-Enhanced data development method, there may be situations where data values from independently built ACS-Enhanced tables are not consistent with each other. Because these tables are built independently of each other, the inconsistencies inherent in the independent Census ACS tables are occasionally propagated within our demographic updates.

**This page intentionally left blank.**

---

# Additional Terminology

## Consistency of Complete Count and Sample Census Totals

Because much census information was collected on a sample basis using the census long form, the Census Bureau used weighting techniques to present such data in complete count form. The weighted sample totals presented in SF 3 often differ from the SF 1 complete count totals by small amounts. For example, a census tract with 1,200 (SF 1) households might have an income table (from SF 3) summing to 1,206 or 1,197 households. The differences are statistically inconsequential.

Nielsen products provide 2000 census data as published by the Census Bureau. The 1990 census data also is provided as published, but has been converted to 2000 census geography. Thus, for both 1990 and 2000 census, the usually minor discrepancies between sample and complete count totals are preserved.

## Adjustment Techniques

The adjustment process is essential to the production of estimates that use input data at various geographic levels, and are consistent across all levels of geography. The Nielsen updates are geographically consistent, meaning that for each data item, block group data always sums to tract totals, which always sums in turn to county, state, and national totals. Adjustment techniques also ensure that characteristic distributions sum to base count totals (e.g., households by income always sums to total households). The simultaneous adjustment of characteristics to higher level control totals and to total persons or households within each smaller area is achieved with IPF. The basic techniques are described below.

### Ratio Adjustment

Ratio adjustment is used to bring small area data into conformity with large area totals. For example, if preliminary block group population estimates sum to a tract total of 552, but the independent tract estimate is 561, the preliminary block group estimates are adjusted upward by 1.63% ( $561/552$ ) to achieve the target tract total. Similar adjustments are made to bring preliminary distributions (such as age and race) into conformity with population totals for each geographic unit.

### Iterative Proportional Fitting

IPF methods are an elaborate form of ratio-adjustment, and are used when estimates must be adjusted to conform simultaneously with two sets of marginal control totals—often referred to as the dimensions of a two-dimensional table. Income by age of householder is a good example. The estimates must sum to both households by income and householders by age.

IPF methods begin with a table with target row and column totals, referred to as the row and column marginal totals. For example, one might have 12 categories of households by income as the row totals and 11 categories of householders by age as the column totals established for a 132 cell (12 by 11) table. The objective is to produce estimates for the table's 132 cells that sum to both the row and column marginals.

The execution of IPF methods requires initial or seed cell values. In the case of income by age of householder, seed values are obtained from the census. This matrix of cell values reflects an intricate set of probabilities defining the relationship

between income and age—as measured for the specific geography in the census. However, these census figures sum to neither estimated households by income nor estimated householders by age.

Iterative proportional fitting achieves this conformity through a series of ratio adjustments to the row and column marginal totals. Each round (or iteration) of row and column adjustments brings the seed values closer to conformity with the marginal totals. The number of iterations required varies by area, but the values eventually converge on a result that sums, within rounding error, to the marginal totals. The resulting estimates not only sum to the desired marginal totals, but preserve the statistical relationship between the two variables (income and age) measured for the area by the census.

## Income Distributions

A source of occasional confusion is the fact that the 2000 census reported income that was earned during calendar year 1999. This is the case whether the data is described as 1999 income or 2000 census income. The one year census lag is logical, since no one had yet received their 2000 income in April 2000 when the census was taken. The Nielsen update is not constrained by this reporting limitation, and therefore presents income for the calendar year corresponding to the household estimate or projections. For example, the 2012.1 update provides estimates of 2012 households by income earned in 2012. When comparing such estimates against the census, note that total households represent a 12 year change since 2000, while income represents a 13 year change since 1999.

## Ethnic Classifications

The race definitions used by Census 2010 and Nielsen estimates include the following basic categories:

- White
- Black or African American
- American Indian and Alaska Native
- Asian
- Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander
- Some other race

However, because the current race standards permit respondents to mark one or more race categories, there are actually 63 categories—the six basic races plus 57 possible combinations of two or more races. When cross-tabulated by Hispanic/non-Hispanic, there are 126 race-by-Hispanic categories.

Short of presenting data for all 63 race categories, there are two basic tabulation options—single classification and all-inclusive.

### Single Classification

The single classification option includes the following categories:

- White alone
- Black or African American alone
- American Indian and Alaska Native alone
- Asian alone
- Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander alone
- Some other race alone
- Two or more races

This option identifies the number of persons marking each race category by itself, and then provides a seventh category identifying the number marking two or more races. The tabulation is similar to those used prior to Census 2000, and sums to total population. However, it provides no information about the race of persons in the “two or more” category, so it is not possible to determine the total number of persons identifying with a given race.

### All-inclusive Classification

The total number of persons marking a given race category is revealed by the following all-inclusive categories:

- White alone or in combination
- Black or African American alone or in combination
- American Indian and Alaska Native alone or in combination
- Asian alone or in combination
- Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander alone or in combination
- Some other race alone or in combination

This option identifies the total number of persons marking each race category—either by itself or as part of a combination of two or more races. However, because persons marking two or more races are counted two or more times, the table sums to totals larger than total population.

### Extended Income and Pareto Interpolation

Income tabulations from Census 2000 top out at the “\$200,000 or more” category. This reporting limit made sense for census products since, in 1999, only 2.4% of all households had incomes in excess of \$200,000. However, higher income breaks are important in affluent areas, and are becoming more important as incomes in excess of \$200,000 become more common. Nielsen has therefore extended Census 2000 income distributions to include categories of “\$200,000 to \$499,999;” and “\$500,000 and over.”

Vilfredo Pareto (1848-1923), is credited for creating a method used to approximate the upper end of an income distribution. Pareto’s distribution is an exponential decay curve. The Pareto distribution is typically used to extend income ranges for very large areas, such as whole countries, where income distributions are smooth. The application of Pareto methods for small areas, where distributions can be irregular, requires some care.

Nielsen applied the Pareto extension to Census 2000 income data only. Estimated and projected income for the extended categories was produced with standard methods applied to the extended Census 2000 base.

### Inflation and Income

A common question is how the effect of inflation is accounted for in the Nielsen income estimates. Inflation, as commonly measured by the Consumer Price Index, reflects changing prices, and a corresponding change in the value of a dollar. For example, items that would have cost \$100 in 1983, would have cost about \$147 by 1993—a 47% inflation in prices. Thus, \$100 was not the same in 1993 as it was in 1983.

Inflation is not a measure of income change, but the two are related. Some income sources (such as Social Security and some union contracts) are indexed by inflation, and workers typically require and demand more pay to cover the increased costs of living. Although income tends to follow inflation, it does not move at the same rate. There are periods when income growth outpaces inflation, and periods when it lags

behind. These income changes relative to inflation are referred to as real income growth.

The Nielsen income estimates and projections are expressed in current dollar values, which reflect how many dollars are being received at the relevant year. As such, they reflect both real income growth (or decline) and the change due to the effect of inflation. Rather than estimating the effects separately, Nielsen measures the combined or net effect through input sources (such as the Bureau of Economic Analysis income estimates), which themselves estimate income change in current dollars. The inflation effect built into these estimates is implicitly incorporated into the Nielsen estimates. Note that accounting for inflation in this manner is different from controlling for inflation, which requires removing the effect of inflation, to produce estimates in constant dollar values.

## TETRAD COMPUTER APPLICATIONS INC.

[www.tetrad.com](http://www.tetrad.com)

1465 Slater Road, PO Box 5007

Ferndale, WA 98248-5007

Tel 360-734-3318

Fax 360-734-4005

Call toll-free 1-800-663-1334

Email: [info@tetrad.com](mailto:info@tetrad.com)



TETRAD Computer Applications Inc. is a Licensed Distributor of Nielsen' Pop-Facts, Business-Facts, Consumer Buying Power, PRIZM Segmentation, Retail Market Power, Net Worth & Income Producing Assets, Financial CLOUT, Bank Branch Locations, Shopping Center Locations, Traffic Count Locations and P\$YCLE Segmentation data for PCensus.